

## PBNI Equality Impact Screening

### Part 1 Policy Scoping

#### Information about the policy

This form should be read in conjunction with the Equality Commission's revised Section 75, "A Guide for Public Authorities" April 2010 and available via the following link [S75 Guide for Public Authorities April 2010](#). Staff should complete a form for each new or revised policy for which they are responsible (see page 6 for a definition of policy in respect of section 75).

The purpose of screening is to identify those policies that are likely to have an impact on equality of opportunity and/or good relations and so determine whether an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is necessary. Screening should be introduced at an early stage when developing or reviewing a policy.

#### 1.1 Name of the policy

Closure of PBNI Reporting Centre Lurgan

#### 1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New

#### 1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (Intended aims/outcomes)

To deploy resources to offer the most efficient use of PBNI resources

#### 1.4 Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy? If so, explain how.

None

#### 1.5 Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Head of IT

#### 1.6 Which Dept. owns and who implements the policy?

Deputy Director/s

**Implementation factors**

**1.7** Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

Yes

If yes, are they

financial

legislative

other, please specify

**Main stakeholders affected**

**1.8** Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

staff

service users

other public sector organisations

voluntary/community/trade unions

other, please specify

**1.9** Other policies with a bearing on this policy

- what are they?

Estates Strategy

- who owns them?

Deputy Director

## Available evidence

**1.10** What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

***The following statistical information relates to all Pre-Sentence Reports (PSR) and New Orders 2011-12***

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information																								
<b>Religious belief</b>	<p><b>Religious Belief Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="568 689 786 723">Religious Belief</th> <th data-bbox="874 723 1054 786">PSRs N=3,002 (49%)</th> <th data-bbox="1114 689 1259 786">New Orders N=1,670 (46%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 790 774 819">Roman Catholic</td> <td data-bbox="1011 790 1054 819">52%</td> <td data-bbox="1219 790 1262 819">52%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 831 703 860">Protestant</td> <td data-bbox="1011 831 1054 860">36%</td> <td data-bbox="1219 831 1262 860">38%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 871 762 900">Other Christian</td> <td data-bbox="1024 871 1054 900">2%</td> <td data-bbox="1219 871 1262 900">2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 911 667 940">Muslim</td> <td data-bbox="1050 911 1054 940">*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 952 644 981">Other</td> <td data-bbox="1024 952 1054 981">1%</td> <td data-bbox="1219 952 1262 981">1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="580 992 644 1021">None</td> <td data-bbox="1011 992 1054 1021">8%</td> <td data-bbox="1219 992 1262 1021">8%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Religious Belief	PSRs N=3,002 (49%)	New Orders N=1,670 (46%)	Roman Catholic	52%	52%	Protestant	36%	38%	Other Christian	2%	2%	Muslim	*		Other	1%	1%	None	8%	8%			
Religious Belief	PSRs N=3,002 (49%)	New Orders N=1,670 (46%)																							
Roman Catholic	52%	52%																							
Protestant	36%	38%																							
Other Christian	2%	2%																							
Muslim	*																								
Other	1%	1%																							
None	8%	8%																							
<b>Political opinion</b>	<p><b>Political Opinion Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="507 1144 724 1178">Political Opinion</th> <th data-bbox="799 1178 979 1240">PSRs N=2,917 (47%)</th> <th data-bbox="1023 1144 1168 1240">New Orders N=1,626 (44%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1245 608 1274">Unionist</td> <td data-bbox="936 1245 979 1274">10%</td> <td data-bbox="1123 1245 1166 1274">11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1285 639 1314">Nationalist</td> <td data-bbox="936 1285 979 1314">12%</td> <td data-bbox="1123 1285 1166 1314">12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1326 576 1355">None</td> <td data-bbox="936 1326 979 1355">60%</td> <td data-bbox="1123 1326 1166 1355">58%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1366 576 1395">Other</td> <td data-bbox="949 1366 979 1395">2%</td> <td data-bbox="1123 1366 1166 1395">2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1406 724 1435">Prefer Not To Say</td> <td data-bbox="936 1406 979 1435">17%</td> <td data-bbox="1123 1406 1166 1435">17%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Political Opinion	PSRs N=2,917 (47%)	New Orders N=1,626 (44%)	Unionist	10%	11%	Nationalist	12%	12%	None	60%	58%	Other	2%	2%	Prefer Not To Say	17%	17%						
Political Opinion	PSRs N=2,917 (47%)	New Orders N=1,626 (44%)																							
Unionist	10%	11%																							
Nationalist	12%	12%																							
None	60%	58%																							
Other	2%	2%																							
Prefer Not To Say	17%	17%																							
<b>Racial group</b>	<p><b>Racial Group Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="507 1644 676 1677">Racial Group</th> <th data-bbox="762 1644 868 1740">PSRs N=3,046 (49%)</th> <th data-bbox="943 1644 1083 1740">New Orders N=1,690 (46%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1744 576 1774">White</td> <td data-bbox="847 1744 890 1774">97%</td> <td data-bbox="1043 1744 1086 1774">98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1785 608 1814">Chinese</td> <td data-bbox="890 1785 895 1814">*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1825 667 1854">Black African</td> <td data-bbox="865 1825 890 1854">1%</td> <td data-bbox="1086 1825 1091 1854">*</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1865 576 1895">Black</td> <td data-bbox="890 1865 895 1895">*</td> <td data-bbox="1086 1865 1091 1895">*</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1906 651 1935">Black Other</td> <td data-bbox="890 1906 895 1935">*</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1946 676 1975">Irish Traveller</td> <td data-bbox="865 1946 890 1975">1%</td> <td data-bbox="1066 1946 1091 1975">1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="507 1986 576 2016">Other</td> <td data-bbox="865 1986 890 2016">1%</td> <td data-bbox="1066 1986 1091 2016">1%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Racial Group	PSRs N=3,046 (49%)	New Orders N=1,690 (46%)	White	97%	98%	Chinese	*		Black African	1%	*	Black	*	*	Black Other	*		Irish Traveller	1%	1%	Other	1%	1%
Racial Group	PSRs N=3,046 (49%)	New Orders N=1,690 (46%)																							
White	97%	98%																							
Chinese	*																								
Black African	1%	*																							
Black	*	*																							
Black Other	*																								
Irish Traveller	1%	1%																							
Other	1%	1%																							

<b>Age</b>	Age Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Age</b>	PSRs* N=6,172 (100%)	New Orders N=3,660 (100%)
	Under 18	4%	4%
	18-19	9%	11%
	20-24	26%	29%
	25-29	18%	19%
	30-39	21%	19%
	40-49	15%	13%
	50-59	6%	5%
	60 +	2%	1%
<b>Marital status</b>	Marital Status Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Marital Status</b>	PSRs N=2,958 (48%)	New Orders N=1,635 (45%)
	Single (Never Married)	70%	77%
	Married	16%	12%
	Separated	7%	5%
	Divorced	6%	5%
	Widowed	1%	1%
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	Sexual Orientation Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	PSRs N=2,914 (47%)	New Orders N=1,615 (44%)
	Heterosexual/ Straight	99%	99%
	Gay/ Lesbian	1%	1%
	Bisexual	*	*
<b>Men and women generally</b>	Gender Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Gender</b>	PSRs N=6,172 (100%)	New Orders N=3,660 (100%)
	Female	15%	14%
	Male	85%	86%
<b>Disability</b>	Disability Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Disability</b>	PSRs N=2,964 (48%)	New Orders N=1,647 (45%)

	None	63%	66%
	Mental Health Condition	22%	21%
	Physical Impairment	9%	7%
	Long Term Illness	6%	5%
	Learning Disability/ Autism	5%	4%
	Sensory Impairment	1%	1%
	Other	4%	4%
<b>Dependants</b>	Dependants Profile: Persons on whom PSRs completed and Orders Made: 2011/12		
	<b>Dependants</b>	PSRs N=2,993 (48%)	New Orders N=1,658 (45%)
	None	53%	56%
	Child/ Children	45%	42%
	Person with disability	2%	2%
	Elderly Person	2%	1%

## Needs, experiences and priorities

**1.11** Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

<b>Section 75 category</b>	<b>Details of needs/experiences/priorities</b>
<b>Religious belief</b>	No specific faith related issues have been identified
<b>Political opinion</b>	No specific issues related to political opinions have been identified
<b>Racial group</b>	None
<b>Age</b>	No specific age related issues
<b>Marital status</b>	No specific issues identified in relation to marital status
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	No specific issue have been identified in relation to sexual preference
<b>Men and women generally</b>	This policy will affect mostly men, however no specific gender issues have been identified
<b>Disability</b>	No specific disability issues have been identified
<b>Dependants</b>	No specific care for dependants issues have been identified

## Part 2 Equality Screening Questions

### SCREENING QUESTIONS

- 2.1** In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, consider questions 2.5 -2.8 listed below.
- 2.2** If the conclusion is **none** in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then the decision may to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2.3** If the conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:
- i.measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
  - ii.the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.
- 2.4** If the conclusion is **major** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

## 2.5 Equality Impact

What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? <b>Minor   Major   None</b>		
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? <b>Minor   Major   None</b>
Religious belief	This decision will affect 84 service users and 5 members of staff – Consultation with staff indicates That staff are been accepting of this necessary closure, but aware of the financial impact this will have on some clients.	None
Political opinion	See above	None
Racial group	See above	None
Age	See above	None
Marital status	See above	None
Sexual orientation	See above	None
Men and women generally	See above	None
Disability	See above	None
Dependants	Staff have raised some concern about the potential impact on single mothers of young children	Minor



## 2.6 Promotion of Equality

Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?		
Section 75 category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons
Religious belief		This policy will promote equality of opportunity through the effective use of PBNI community resources in the Craigavon area
Political opinion		As above
Racial group		As above
Age		As above
Marital status		As above
Sexual orientation		As above
Men and women generally		As above
Disability		As above
Dependants		As above

## 2.7 Good Relation Impact

To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? <b>Minor   Major   None</b>		
Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact <b>Minor   Major   None</b>
Religious belief	Possible positive impact will be the sharing of community resources in the Craigavon Area	Minor
Political opinion	Possible positive impact will be the sharing of community resources in the Craigavon Area	Minor
Racial group	Possible positive impact will be the sharing of community resources in the Craigavon Area	Minor

## 2.8 Promotion of Good Relations

4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		
Good relations category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

## Additional considerations

### Multiple identities

**2.9** Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?  
(For example; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

A small number of young men will be mostly affected by this closure.

**2.10** Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

Alternative arrangements have been made to interview clients in the Portadown Office.

**2.11** Is there an opportunity through this policy for PBNI to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage the participation of disabled people in public life?

Yes

No

If answered yes detail how this will be achieved: -

### Part 3 Screening decision

**3.1** If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

Through the process of screening the decision to close the Lurgan Reporting Centre no equality issues have been identified by staff or service users. PBNI has put in place sufficient measures to ensure anticipated equality issues are mitigated through the flexibility of staff.

**3.2** If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

N/A

**3.3** If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

N/A

#### Mitigation

**3.4** When the likely impact is 'minor' an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, mitigation may lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations. Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations and if so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

The inconvenience that this reporting centre closure causes for clients has been mitigated through agreement to see clients in the Portadown PBNI local office.

**Date Screening Completed: 15 September**

**Department Completing this Screening: Business Planning & IT**