

PBNI Equality Impact Screening

Part 1 Policy Scoping

Information about the policy

This form should be read in conjunction with the Equality Commission's revised Section 75, "A Guide for Public Authorities" April 2010 and available via the following link S75 Guide for Public Authorities April 2010. Staff should complete a form for each new or revised policy for which they are responsible (see page 6 for a definition of policy in respect of section 75).

The purpose of screening is to identify those policies that are likely to have an impact on equality of opportunity and/or good relations and so determine whether an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is necessary. Screening should be introduced at an <u>early stage</u> when developing or reviewing a policy.

1.1	Name	of the	policy
-----	------	--------	--------

|--|

1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

Existing

1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (Intended aims/outcomes)

To ensure that staff who use social media in both a work and personal capacity do so in line with the requirements contained within PBNI policies, Code of Conduct and legislation

1.4 Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy? If so, explain how.

No		
1.5	Who initiated or wrote the policy?	
Ivor \	Whitten	
1.6	Which Dept. owns and who implements the policy?	
Communications		

1.7	Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?
No	
If yes,	are they
	financial
	legislative
	other, please specify
Main	stakeholders affected
1.8	Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?
\boxtimes	staff
	service users
	other public sector organisations
	voluntary/community/trade unions
specif	other, please
1.9	Other policies with a bearing on this policy
•	what are they?
Manage	ment of Information, Internet and email usage, Dignity at Work, Code of Conduct
	who owns them?
Human I	Resources, Information Technology Department

Available evidence

1.10 What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information	
Religious belief	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicated that PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	Non-determined 7% Protestant 45% Catholic 47% Blank 1%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on religious belief	
Political opinion	The operation of this policy has no impact on political opinion	
Racial group	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	While 97.42% Mixed 0.7% Other 0.23% Not disclosed 0.7%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on Racial Group	
Age	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	18-25 = 2% 26-35 = 21% 36-45 = 30% 46-55 = 30% 56+ = 16%	
	Blank = 1%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on age groups.	
Marital status	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	Single = 24% Married = 60% Widowed = 1% Separated = 3% Divorced = 3% Civil Partnership 0% Cohabit 6% Not disclosed 2% Blank 1%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on marital status.	

Sexual orientation	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows: Heterosexual = 82% Bisexual = 1% Gay/Lesbian = 1% Not disclosed = 2% Blank 14% The operation of this policy has no impact on sexual orientation.
Men and women generally	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows: Male = 30% Female = 70% The operation of this policy has no impact on either gender
Disability	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows: Disabled 4% Not disabled = 96% The operation of this policy has no impact on those with a disability.
Dependants	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows: Staff with children = 38% Person with disability = 2% Elderly person = 3% No dependents = 56% Not disclosed 1% The operation of this policy has no impact on those with dependents.

Needs, experiences and priorities

1.11 Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy
Racial group	None in relation to this policy
Age	None in relation to this policy
Marital status	None in relation to this policy
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy
Disability	None in relation to this policy
Dependants	None in relation to this policy

Part 2 Equality Screening Questions

SCREENING QUESTIONS

- 2.1 In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, consider questions 2.5 -2.8 listed below.
- 2.2 If the conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then the decision may to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2.3 If the conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:
 - i.measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
 - ii.the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.
- 2.4 If the conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

2.5 Equality Impact

What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? Minor | Major | None Level of impact? Section 75 Details of policy impact Minor | Major | None category N/A Religious belief None Political N/A None opinion N/A None Racial group N/A None Age N/A Marital status None Sexual N/A None orientation Men and women N/A None generally Disability N/A None N/A Dependants None

2.6 Promotion of Equality

Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories? Section 75 If Yes, provide details If No, provide reasons category Religious belief No, the policy is open to all employees. Political No as above opinion No as above Racial group No as above Age Marital status No as above Sexual No as above orientation No as above Men and women generally Disability No as above Dependants No as above

2.7 Good Relation Impact

	ent is the policy likely to impact on good relations betweels, political opinion or racial group? Minor Major No	
Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor Major None
Religious belief	N/A	None
Political opinion	N/A	None
Racial group	N/A	None

2.8 Promotion of Good Relations

	portunities to better promote good relations ef, political opinion or racial group?	between people of different
Good relations category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief	N/A	
Political opinion	N/A	
Racial group	N/A	

Additional considerations

Multiple identities

2.9 Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).
N/A
2.10 Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.
2.11 Is there an opportunity thorough this policy for PBNI to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage the participation of disabled people in public life?
Yes
No 🖂
If answered yes detail how this will be achieved: -

Part 3 Screening decision

3.1 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons. An equality impact assessment is not required due to the neutrality of the policy which has links to other policies already in operation. The implementation of this policy does not impact upon equality. 3.2 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced. It has been considered and there is no requirement for it to be mitigated nor an alternative policy to be introduced. The policy is entirely neutral in impact upon staff 3.3 If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons. N/A **Mitigation** 3.4 When the likely impact is 'minor' an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, mitigation may lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations. Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations and if so, give the reasons to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

Date Screening Completed: 10 October 2019

Department Completing this Screening: Communications